

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/824,333	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JACOBSON, THEODORE L.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kevin P. Kerns	<b>Art Unit</b> 1725	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kevin P. Kerns.

(2) David Slone, applicant's attorney.

**Status of Application:** Examiner's Amendment

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 August 2006

**Time:** 5:05pm EDT

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

27-34 and new proposed claims, resulting in allowance of new claims 35-54

Prior art documents discussed:

Elbert (US 4,013,461)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kevin Kerns 8/16/06  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 27-34 were discussed in view of the Elbert reference, and it was discussed and agreed upon that claim 27 be amended by further including limitations as follows: 1) combining cancelled claim 30 with claim 27 (now new independent claim 35), such that the step of removing a carrier liquid from close-packed solid bodies is now distinctly claimed; 2) adding a "non-metallic" coating within claim 27 (now new independent claim 42); and 3) adding a step of causing a portion of a state-change coating to change from an adhesive state to a non-adhesive state (now new independent claim 49), such that all of independent claims 35, 42, and 49 distinctly define over the teachings of Elbert.

*Kerim Kuma 8/16/06*